

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/709,753	05/26/2004	Douglas Zhu	81094665 / FMC 1698 PUS 3752	
28395 75	90 08/05/2005	EXAMINER		NER
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL 1000 TOWN CENTER			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
22ND FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238			3742	
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/709,753	ZHU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonid M. Fastovsky	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status .		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ap	oril 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 13-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9,11 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	_					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>5/24/04</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/709,753

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 10, 13 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matava et al (5,280,158) in view of Vanderslice, Jr. et al.

 Matava discloses a method of heating vehicle components including heating of a battery before starting an engine and the vehicle controller-microprocessor 7 (Col. 1, lines 5-35) thus inherently determining a shut-down condition. At first, before activating the controller 7 which is practically in a suspend mode condition, the system functions are tested through keys 12 through 20 (col. 5, lines 21-56), the program begins the process of monitoring an ambient temperature, and heating 31 is initiated when the ambient temperature is less than temperature threshold 22 (col. 6, lines 20-25, corresponding to claims 5 and 17). A stop heating command is transmitted to the vehicle select logic 8 by the microprocessor 7. However, Matava does not disclose that energy flows from the battery to the heater to heat the battery.

Vanderslice discloses a method and an apparatus in which the internal resistance of the battery is used as the heating element for maintaining battery temperature (col. 1, lines 54-62). The heating system comprising a DC charger 18 and when it is disabled, which corresponds to the shut-down condition, the load 12 draws current through the battery

Art Unit: 3742

- 10, heating internal resistance R and the battery (col. 3, lines 36-39) and a temperature controller 16 with a comparator 38 for sensing the battery temperature (corresponding to claims 6 and 18). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Matava's invention to include a battery heating system as taught by Vanderslice in order to heat the battery in the vehicle because the need for a separate battery heater is reduced or eliminated entirely. More than that, the battery heat is generated inside the battery where it needs to be, rather than in materials outside the battery (col. 1, lines 54-62).
- 3. Claims 7-8,14-16 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matava in view of Vanderslice and further in view of Gollomp et al. Matava in view of Vanderslice teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a sleep mode and a battery state of charge (SOC).

Gollomp teaches a system and a method for monitoring a vehicle battery comprising an ambient temperature sensor 130, a sleep mode (Fig. 3), and a battery state of charge (SOC) (col. 14, lines 20-67, col. 15, lines 1-67, col. 16, lines 1-36). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Matava in view of Vanderslice to include a sleep mode as taught by Gollomp in order to provide real time conditions regarding potential loss of capability and the need for corrective action (col. 14, lines 21-67) and determine the battery SOC by computer (col. 9, lines 30-67, col. 10-, lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 3742

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9, 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 10 and 13-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742

Imf

ROBIN O. EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER